

Hon.

EXPEDITE
No Hearing Set
Hearing is Set
Date:
Time:
Judge/Calendar:

SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON FOR KING COUNTY

JEAN-BAPTISTE YAMINDI and NICKIESHA
GORDON,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CAMERON OSMER and WASHINGTON
STATE PATROL,

Defendant.

No.

COMPLAINT

JURY DEMAND

I. INTRODUCTION

1.1 This is a civil rights action brought under the Washington Law Against Discrimination, 42 U.S.C. § 1983, and state tort law.

1.2 On separate occasions less than a month apart, Trooper Cameron Osmer of the Washington State Patrol arrested both plaintiffs for driving under the influence, despite that both plaintiffs blew 0.000 BAC (“Blood Alcohol Content”) scores and showed no signs of intoxication. Trooper Osmer then went a step farther, misleading judicial officers to obtain warrants for blood from both Plaintiffs. Both plaintiffs’ blood tests confirmed they were completely innocent and all charges were dropped.

1.3 Trooper Osmer did not select the victims of these unlawful arrests randomly. Both plaintiffs are immigrants. Both speak with noticeable accents. Both plaintiffs are black. In the span of a month, Trooper Osmer unlawfully arrested and obtained invasive blood draws on both.

1 Plaintiffs seek damages and accountability before Trooper Osmer violates the rights of other
2 black or immigrant residents of King County.

3 II. PARTIES

4 2.1 Plaintiff Jean-Baptiste Yamindi is a resident of the State of Washington. He has
5 lived in Seattle since 2014. His race is black and he is originally from the Central African
6 Republic. He speaks fluent English with a French accent. Dr. Yamindi is employed as a software
7 engineer and holds a Ph.D and a Post-Doctorate in information systems and telecommunications.

8 2.2 Plaintiff Nickiesha Gordon is a resident of the State of Florida, who resided in the
9 State of Washington as of November 2, 2020. Her race is black and her national origin is
10 Jamaican. She speaks fluent English with a Jamaican accent. She worked as a police officer in
11 Jamaica, and then became a home healthcare aid.

12 2.3 Defendant Cameron Osmer is a State Trooper employed by the Washington State
13 Patrol, acting at all relevant times under color of state of law. He is sued here in his individual
14 capacity.

15 2.4 Defendant Washington State Patrol is an agency of the State of Washington,
16 acting under color of state law.

17 III. JURISDICTION, VENUE, and JOINDER

18 3.1 This Court has jurisdiction under RCW 4.12.020, and venue is proper, because the
19 claims arose in King County.

20 3.2 Joinder of Plaintiffs' claims is proper under Civil Rule 20 because Plaintiffs assert
21 a right to relief jointly; their claims arise out of the same series of transactions or occurrences
22 and at the hand of the same individual defendant; and common questions of law and fact will
23 arise in the action.

24 IV. FACTS

25 Trooper Osmer Unlawfully Arrested and Searched Plaintiff Jean-Baptiste Yamindi

26 4.1 On October 11, 2022, Trooper Osmer was on patrol, driving southbound on I-5
27 near the Roanoke Exit in King County, when he saw Dr. Yamindi.

1 4.2 Trooper Osmer pulled Dr. Yamindi over for an alleged traffic infraction, which
2 was later dismissed.

3 4.3 Trooper Osmer could see that Dr. Yamindi was a black male, and could hear that
4 Dr. Yamindi spoke with a noticeable accent.

5 4.4 Trooper Osmer told Dr. Yamindi to exit the vehicle.

6 4.5 Trooper Osmer had Dr. Yamindi take a breath test. The test showed a blood
7 alcohol content (BAC) of 0.000.

8 4.6 A BAC result of 0.000 indicates that the test subject is not impaired by alcohol.

9 4.7 Dr. Yamindi was in fact completely sober.

10 4.8 Dr. Yamindi explained to Trooper Osmer that he was sober and in fact never used
11 drugs or alcohol.

12 4.9 Trooper Osmer had Dr. Yamindi perform a series of field sobriety tests out of
13 view of the police vehicle's dashboard camera.

14 4.10 Dr. Yamindi performed the tests satisfactorily and according to Trooper Osmer's
15 instructions.

16 4.11 Trooper Osmer accused Dr. Yamindi of driving while intoxicated and handcuffed
17 and arrested Dr. Yamindi.

18 4.12 Trooper Osmer searched Dr. Yamindi's car and person and found nothing
19 incriminating.

20 4.13 Trooper Osmer had Dr. Yamindi's car impounded, damaging the car in the
21 process.

22 4.14 Trooper Osmer obtained a search warrant for Dr. Yamindi's blood, and then
23 obtained two vials of Dr. Yamindi's blood, which were tested for the presence of drugs or
24 alcohol. The official test results showed zero alcohol or drugs in Dr. Yamindi's blood, consistent
25 with the 0.000 BAC test result. All charges were dismissed.

1 4.15 In order to obtain a search warrant for blood from Dr. Yamindi, Trooper Osmer
2 falsely represented to a Magistrate Judge in a warrant affidavit that there was a basis to believe
3 Dr. Yamindi had been driving while impaired, when in fact there was none.

4 4.16 Trooper Osmer intentionally or recklessly made false statements and/or omissions
5 in the warrant application, including the statement there was reason to believe Dr. Yamindi was
6 impaired.

7 4.17 Trooper Osmer's reckless or intentional omissions and/or misrepresentations were
8 material to the warrant application and the warrant would not have issued but for these reckless
9 or intentional omissions and representations.

10 4.18 All charges against Dr. Yamindi were dismissed.

11 4.19 There was no probable cause to arrest Dr. Yamindi or draw his blood.

12 4.20 Trooper Osmer arrested and searched Dr. Yamindi without probable cause
13 because Dr. Yamindi is black and/or because of Dr. Yamindi's national origin.

14 4.21 As a direct and proximate result of Trooper Osmer's unlawful arrest of Dr.
15 Yamindi, Dr. Yamindi was forced to spend a night in jail in unsanitary conditions. At the time,
16 individuals who did not pose public safety concerns were not to be placed in lockup because of
17 the risks associated with COVID-19. Trooper Osmer nevertheless booked Dr. Yamindi into jail.

18 4.22 Trooper Osmer's actions directly and proximately caused Dr. Yamindi severe
19 and ongoing mental anguish and distress. Furthermore, Dr. Yamindi was forced to defend against
20 baseless a criminal prosecution and incurred associated costs.

21 Trooper Osmer Unlawfully Arrested Plaintiff Nickiesha Gordon

22 4.23 Less than one month later, Trooper Osmer engaged in almost identical
23 misconduct.

24 4.24 On November 2, 2020, Trooper Osmer was on patrol when he decided to follow
25 Nakeisha Gordon as she drove eastbound on I-90, near the Mercer Way exit in King County.

26 4.25 Trooper Osmer pulled Ms. Gordon over for an alleged traffic infraction.
27

1 4.26 Trooper Osmer saw Ms. Gordon was a black female and heard that she spoke
2 with a Jamaican accent.

3 4.27 Trooper Osmer told Ms. Gordon to exit the vehicle.

4 4.28 Trooper Osmer had Ms. Gordon perform a breath test.

5 4.29 The breath test Trooper Osmer administered on the scene showed Ms. Gordon's
6 blood alcohol content was 0.000.

7 4.30 Ms. Gordon was in fact completely sober.

8 4.31 Ms. Gordon explained she was not driving under the influence, to which Trooper
9 Osmer replied, "Well then, let's prove that."

10 4.32 Trooper Osmer had Ms. Gordon perform additional field sobriety tests including
11 the "Horizontal Gaze Nystagmus," "the One Leg Stand," and the "Walk and Turn."

12 4.33 Ms. Gordon performed all the tests satisfactorily and as instructed.

13 4.34 Ms. Gordon explained to Trooper Osmer that she was returning from a long shift
14 at work. She offered to call her supervisor to confirm this, but Trooper Osmer refused.

15 4.35 Trooper Osmer handcuffed Ms. Gordon and placed her under arrest for driving
16 under the influence.

17 4.36 Trooper Osmer had Ms. Gordon's car impounded.

18 4.37 Trooper Osmer transported Ms. Gordon in handcuffs to the Washington State
19 Patrol Office in Bellevue.

20 4.38 Trooper Osmer obtained a search warrant for two vials of blood from Ms.
21 Gordon, and had the blood tested for the presence of alcohol or drugs. The result of the blood test
22 ultimately showed that Ms. Gordon had not consumed any drugs or alcohol.

23 4.39 In order to obtain a search warrant for Ms. Gordon's blood, Trooper Osmer
24 falsely represented to a Magistrate Judge that there was reason to believe Ms. Gordon was
25 impaired.

26 4.40 Trooper Osmer's statement that there was reason to believe Ms. Gordon was
27 impaired was an intentional or reckless misstatement or omission.

1 4.41 Whether there was reason to believe Ms. Gordon was impaired was material to
2 probable cause or the lack thereof. The warrant would not have issued but for Trooper Osmer's
3 false statement that there was reason to believe Ms. Gordon was impaired.

4 4.42 Trooper Osmer took Ms. Gordon to King County Jail and booked her. At that
5 time, non-violent offenders were not to be placed in lockup because of the risks associated with
6 COVID-19. Trooper Osmer nevertheless booked Ms. Gordon into jail.

7 4.43 All charges were dismissed.

8 4.44 There was no probable cause to arrest Ms. Gordon or draw her blood.

9 4.45 Trooper Osmer arrested and searched Ms. Gordon without probable because she
10 is black and/or because of her national origin.

11 4.46 As a direct and proximate result of Trooper Osmer's false arrest of Ms. Gordon,
12 Ms. Gordon was forced to spend the night in jail at the height of the pandemic, then defend
13 against a baseless criminal prosecution and incur associated costs and harms. Furthermore,
14 Trooper Osmer's actions caused her to lose her job, and she was forced to move across the
15 country where she could rely on the support of her relatives. The record of the false arrest
16 continues to prevent Ms. Gordon from obtaining employment. Trooper Osmer's actions also
17 caused Ms. Gordon ongoing mental anguish and distress.

18 Allegations Against Washington State Patrol

19 4.47 Additionally, or alternatively, Trooper Osmer acted at all times according to
20 Washington State Patrol policies and directives.

21 4.48 At all relevant times, Trooper Osmer was an employee of the Washington State
22 Patrol.

23 4.49 At all relevant times, Trooper Osmer acted within the scope of his employment
24 and under color of state law.

25 4.50 At all relevant times, the Washington State Patrol had a duty to exercise due care
26 in hiring, training, directing, and supervising its employees, including Trooper Osmer.
27

4.60 The Washington State Patrol failed to exercise due care in designing and implementing COVID-19 policies, exposing Dr. Yamindi and Ms. Gordon to the risks of COVID-19 as a direct and proximate result.

4.61 The Washington State Patrol failed to exercise due care in supervising, hiring, and/or training Trooper Osmer, exposing Dr. Yamindi and Ms. Gordon to the risks of COVID-19 as a direct and proximate result.

V. CAUSES OF ACTION

Violation of RCW 49.60.030 (Washington Law Against Discrimination) by Trooper Osmer

5.1 Trooper Osmer denied Plaintiffs full enjoyment of a public accommodation on the basis of race.

5.2 Trooper Osmer denied Plaintiffs full enjoyment of a public accommodation on the basis of Plaintiffs' national origins, namely Central African Republic and Jamaican respectively.

Violation of 49.60.030 (Washington Law Against Discrimination) by Washington State

Patrol

5.3 The Washington State Patrol is directly liable for the unlawful discrimination of its employee Trooper Osmer.

Violations of the Fourth Amendment Under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 by Trooper Osmer

5.4 Trooper Osmer violated Plaintiffs' clearly established right to be free from unreasonable seizures, protected by U.S. Const. amend. IV, when he arrested them without probable cause.

5.5 Trooper Osmer violated Plaintiffs' clearly established right to be free from unreasonable searches, protected by U.S. Const. amend. IV, when he caused their blood to be drawn without probable cause.

5.6 Trooper Osmer violated Plaintiffs' clearly established right to be free from unreasonable searches, protected by U.S. Const. amend. IV, when he made intentional or reckless omissions and/or misrepresentations to judicial officers to obtain search warrants to draw Plaintiffs' blood.

Negligence by Trooper Osmer

5.7 Trooper Osmer negligently exposed Plaintiffs to the risk of COVID-19 infection.

Negligence by Defendant Washington State Patrol

5.8 Washington State Patrol negligently hired, trained, directed and/or supervised Trooper Osmer, causing Plaintiffs to be exposed to the risks of COVID-19.

5.9 Washington State Patrol is further liable for all of Trooper Osmer's negligence as his employer, under the doctrine of *respondeat superior*.

VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs Jean-Baptiste Yamindi and Nakeisha Gordon request the following relief:

- 6.1 A trial by jury on all claims so triable;
- 6.2 All compensatory and punitive damages authorized by law;
- 6.3 Additional compensation for any potential increased tax burden;
- 6.4 The right to amend the pleadings to conform with the evidence;
- 6.5 Reasonable attorney's fees and costs under 42 U.S.C. § 1988; RCW 49.60.030, and other applicable law.

DATED this 21st day of June 2022.

MacDONALD HOAGUE & BAYLESS

1 By: /s/ Nathaniel Flack
2 Joe Shaeffer, WSBA #33273
3 Nathaniel Flack, WSBA #58582
4 joe@mhb.com
5 nathanielf@mhb.com
6 Attorneys for Plaintiff
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27